Sentara Leigh Ambulatory Surgery Center Community Health Needs Assessment 2013





Sentara Leigh Ambulatory Surgery Center

Community Health Needs Assessment

Introduction

Sentara Leigh Ambulatory Surgery Center has conducted a community health needs assessment in collaboration with Sentara Leigh Hospital. The assessment provides us with a picture of the health status of the residents in our communities and provides us with information about health and health-related problems that impact health status.

Our assessment includes a review of population characteristics such as age, educational level, and racial and ethnic composition because these factors can impact health. The assessment also looks at risk factors like obesity and smoking and health indicators such as infant mortality and preventable hospitalizations. Community input is important so the assessment also includes survey results from local health departments, the school system, social services, community health centers, free clinics, local governments, and many others. In the following pages, additional information on the assessment process and findings can be found.

The needs assessment identifies numerous health issues that our communities face. While there are many important health matters, we are focusing our efforts on the health issues listed below. Considering factors such as size and scope of the health problem, the intensity and severity of the issue, the potential to effectively address the problem and the availability of community resources, and Sentara's mission "to improve health every day", we have identified these priority health problems in our area:

- Aging
- Diabetes
- Healthcare for the uninsured and underinsured
- Adult obesity

The community health needs assessment was used as the foundation for a hospital implementation strategy to address these priority needs. The assessment and implementation strategy have been adopted by the facility's governing body. A number of resources are available in the community to address these needs through community partners such as the local health departments, United Way Agencies, and others. Information about these resources is available from sources like 2-1-1 Virginia and Sentara.com. Together, we will work to improve the health of the communities we serve.

Your input is important to us so that we can incorporate your feedback into our assessments. You may use our online feedback form available on the Sentara.com website. Thanks! A Community Health Needs Assessment Prepared for the Sentara Leigh Hospital By Community Health Solutions


Table of Contents

| Section | Page |
|---|------|
| Executive Summary | 1 |
| Part I. Community Insight Profile | 4 |
| Item 1. Survey Respondents | 4 |
| Item 2. Community Health Concerns | 5 |
| Item 3. Community Service Gaps | 7 |
| Part II. Community Indicator Profile | 9 |
| 1. Health Demographic Trend Profile | 10 |
| 2. Health Demographic Snapshot Profile | 11 |
| 3. Mortality Profile | 12 |
| 4. Maternal and Infant Health Profile | 13 |
| 5. Preventable Hospitalization Discharge Profile | 14 |
| 6. Behavioral Health Hospitalization Discharge Profile | 15 |
| 7. Adult Health Risk Factor Profile | 16 |
| 8. Youth Health Risk Factor Profile | 17 |
| 9. Uninsured Profile | 18 |
| 10. Medically Underserved Profile | 19 |
| Appendix A. Zip Code-Level Maps | 20 |
| Appendix B. Community Insight Profile: Additional Ideas and Suggestions for Improving Community Health | 37 |
| Appendix C. Data Sources | 39 |

Executive Summary

The mission of Sentara Leigh Hospital (SLH) is "to improve health every day." With this mission in mind, SLH commissioned Community Health Solutions to conduct this community health needs assessment.

The study focuses on the SLH service area of 20 zip codes, most of which fall within the cities of Chesapeake, Norfolk and Virginia Beach. The study region is shown in the map below. The results of the study include two primary components: a 'community insight profile' based on qualitative analysis of a survey of community stakeholders, and a 'community indicator profile' based on quantitative analysis of community health status indicators. This Executive Summary outlines major findings, and details are provided in the body of the report.



Part I. Community Insight Profile

In an effort to generate community input for the study, a 'Community Insight Survey' was conducted with a group of community stakeholders identified by SLH. The survey participants were asked to provide their viewpoints on:

- Important health concerns in the community;
- Significant service gaps in the community; and
- Additional ideas or suggestions for improving community health.

The survey was sent to a group of community stakeholders identified by SLH. A total of 57 stakeholders submitted a response (although not every respondent answered every question). The respondents provided rich insights about community health in the study region. To summarize:

- The respondents identified over 20 important health concerns such as obesity, chronic disease, depression and more.
- The respondents reported more than two dozen specific community services in need of strengthening. Identified services included behavioral health services, health care services for the uninsured/underinsured, aging services, homeless services and more.

Twenty-two respondents offered open-ended responses with additional ideas and suggestions for improving community health. These responses are listed in *Appendix B*.

Part II. Community Indicator Profile

The community indicator profile in Part II presents a wide array of quantitative community health indicators for the study region. To produce the profile, Community Health Solutions analyzed data from multiple sources. By design, the analysis does not include every possible indicator of community health. The analysis is focused on a set of indicators that provide broad insight into community health, and for which there were readily available data sources. To summarize:

- Demographic Profile. As of 2012, the study region included an estimated 768,037 people. The population is expected to increase to 784,805 by 2017. It is projected that the population will remain stable or grow in all demographic groups, including a 10% increase in seniors age 65+; a 6% increase in the Asian population; and a 4% increase in the Hispanic ethnicity population. Compared to Virginia as a whole, the study region is more densely populated, and has (proportionally) more Black/African American residents. The study region also has lower income levels, and has (proportionally) fewer adults age 25+ without a high school diploma than Virginia as a whole.
- *Mortality Profile.* In 2011, the study region had 5,290 total deaths. The leading causes of death were malignant neoplasms (cancer), heart disease and chronic lower respiratory disease.
- *Maternal and Infant Health Profile.* In 2011, the study region had 11,223 total live births. Compared to Virginia as a whole, the study region had a higher rate of live births overall, and non-marital births; and a lower rate of births without early prenatal care. Teen pregnancy and five-year infant mortality rates were higher in the cities of Chesapeake and Norfolk than the statewide rates.
- Preventable Hospitalization Discharge Profile. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) defines a set of conditions (called Prevention Quality Indicators, or 'PQIs') for which hospitalization should be avoidable with proper outpatient health care. High rates of hospitalization for these conditions indicate potential gaps in access to quality outpatient services for community residents. In 2011, residents of the study region had 7,685 PQI hospital discharges. The study region PQI discharge rates per 100,000 population were higher than the statewide rates for adults age 18+.
- Behavioral Health Hospitalization Discharge Profile. Behavioral Health (BH) hospitalizations provide another important indicator of community health status. In 2011, residents of the study region had 6,592 hospital discharges from Virginia community hospitals for behavioral health conditions.¹ The leading diagnoses for these discharges were affective psychoses, general symptoms², and schizophrenic disorders. The study region behavioral health hospitalization discharge rates per 100,000 population were higher than the statewide rates for the 0-17,30-44,45-64 and 65+ age groups.
- Adult Health Risk Profile. Local estimates indicate that substantial numbers of adults (age 18+) in the study region have health risks related to nutrition, weight, physical inactivity, tobacco, and alcohol. In addition, substantial numbers of adults have chronic conditions such as high cholesterol, high blood pressure, arthritis, diabetes and asthma.
- Youth Health Risk Profile. Local estimates indicate that substantial numbers of youth (age 14-19) in the study region have health risks related to nutrition, weight, alcohol, mental health, tobacco and physical inactivity.
- Uninsured Profile. An estimated 95,092 (14%) nonelderly residents of the study region were uninsured at any point in time in 2012. This included an estimated 14,460 children and 80,632 adults.
- *Medically Underserved Profile.* Medically Underserved Areas (MUAs) and Medically Underserved Populations (MUPs) are designated by the U.S. Health Resources and Services Administration as being at risk for health

¹ Data include discharges for Virginia residents from Virginia community hospitals reporting to Virginia Health Information, Inc. These data do not include discharges from state behavioral health facilities or federal (military) facilities. Data reported are based on the primary diagnosis. ² This diagnosis includes symptoms, signs, abnormal results of laboratory or other investigative procedures, and ill-defined conditions regarding which no diagnosis classifiable elsewhere is recorded.

care access problems. The designations are based on several factors including primary care provider supply, infant mortality, prevalence of poverty and the prevalence of seniors age 65+. All three localities that include the study region zip codes are partially designated as MUAs/MUPs (cities of Chesapeake, Norfolk and Virginia Beach).

Accompanying File of Zip Code-Level Indicators

This report includes community health indicators for the study region as a whole. A separate Microsoft Excel file contains indicators for each zip code within the study region.

Appendix A. Zip Code-Level Maps

Appendix A provides a set of thematically colored maps displaying variation in selected community health indicators by zip code. The underlying data for these maps are provided in a separate Microsoft Excel file. *Please read the important note about zip code-level data in Appendix A.*

Appendix B. Community Insight Profile- Additional Ideas and Suggestions for Improving Community Health

Twenty-two survey respondents offered open-ended responses with additional ideas and suggestions for improving community health. These responses are listed in *Appendix B*.

Appendix C. Data Sources

Appendix C provides a list of the data sources used in the analyses for this report.

Part I. Community Insight Profile

In an effort to generate community input for the study, a 'Community Insight Survey' was conducted with a group of community stakeholders identified by SLH. The survey participants were asked to provide their viewpoints on:

- Important health concerns in the community;
- Significant service gaps in the community; and
- Additional ideas and suggestions for improving community health.

The survey was sent to a group of community stakeholders identified by SLH. A total of 57 stakeholders submitted a response (although not every respondent answered every question). The respondents provided rich insights about community health in the study region. The results are summarized in the remainder of this section.

1. Survey Respondents

Exhibit I-1 below lists the organizational affiliations of the survey respondents.

| Access Partnership | Norfolk Fire Rescue (2) |
|---|--|
| Alzheimer's Association (Southeastern VA Chapter) | Norfolk Plastic Surgery PC |
| Atlantic Orthopedic Specialist | Norfolk State University |
| Beach Health Clinic | Old Dominion University (2) |
| Chesapeake Care, Inc./Hampton Roads Dental Center | People In Need Ministry |
| Chesapeake Health Department | Prime Plus |
| Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority | RG Electric Company, Inc. |
| Children's Specialty Group, PLLC | Samaritan House |
| Coalition on Infant and Child Health/Eastern Virginia | Senior Services of Southeastern Virginia |
| Commonwealth Memory Care at Norfolk | Sentara Healthcare |
| Ear, Nose, and Throat Ltd. | Sentara Leigh |
| Eastern Virginia Medical School (3) | Sentara Medical Group (4) |
| Emergency Physicians of Tidewater (2) | Sentara Norfolk General Hospital Patient & Family Advisory |
| EMS Plaza #16 | The Barry Robinson Center |
| Faith Deliverance Christian Center | The Planning Council |
| Foodbank of SEVA | United Way of South Hampton Roads |
| Free Foundation of South Hampton Roads | Virginia Beach Department of Human Services, MHSA |
| GLST | Virginia Beach EMS (2) |
| Manke | Virginia Beach United Methodist Church |
| Medical Transport | VisionWalk |
| Newtowne South Civic League | Williams Mullen |
| Norfolk Community Services Board | Unknown Organization (2) |
| Norfolk Department of Public Health (3) | |

Exhibit I-1 Reported Organization Affiliation of Survey Respondents

2. Community Health Concerns

Survey respondents were asked to review a list of common community health issues. The list of issues draws from the topics in *Healthy People 2020* with some refinements. The survey asked respondents to identify from the list what they view as important health concerns in the community. Respondents were also invited to identify additional issues not already defined on the list. *Exhibit I-2* summarizes the results, including open-ended responses.

| Answer Options | Response Percent | Response Count | |
|---|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Adult Obesity | 77% | 44 | |
| Diabetes | 65% | 37 | Note: When |
| High Blood Pressure | 58% | 33 | interpreting the survey |
| Heart Disease | 56% | 32 | results, |
| Depression | 54% | 31 | please note |
| Childhood Obesity | 51% | 29 | that although the |
| Mental Health Conditions (other than depression) | 47% | 27 | relative |
| Cancer | 44% | 25 | number of responses |
| Dental Care/Oral Health-Adult | 44% | 25 | received for |
| Substance Abuse - Illegal Drugs | 42% | 24 | each item is |
| Substance Abuse - Prescription Drugs | 42% | 24 | instructive, it is not a |
| Alcohol Use | 40% | 23 | definitive |
| Alzheimer's Disease | 39% | 22 | measure of the relative |
| Tobacco Use | 39% | 22 | importance |
| Stroke | 35% | 20 | of one issue |
| Asthma | 30% | 17 | compared to another. |
| Domestic Violence | 30% | 17 | |
| Chronic Pain | 26% | 15 | |
| Teen Pregnancy | 25% | 14 | |
| Injuries | 23% | 13 | |
| Renal (kidney) Disease | 23% | 13 | |
| Arthritis | 21% | 12 | |
| Infant and Child Health | 19% | 11 | |
| Neurological Disorders (seizures, multiple sclerosis) | 19% | 11 | |
| Orthopedic Problems | 19% | 11 | |
| Respiratory Diseases (other than asthma) | 19% | 11 | |
| Sexually Transmitted Diseases | 19% | 11 | |
| Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities | 18% | 10 | |
| Physical Disabilities | 18% | 10 | |
| Prenatal & Pregnancy Care | 18% | 10 | |
| Dental Care/Oral Health-Pediatric | 16% | 9 | |
| HIV/AIDS | 16% | 9 | |
| Infectious Diseases | 16% | 9 | |
| Autism | 12% | 7 | |
| Environmental Quality | 7% | 4 | |

Exhibit I-2. Important Community Health Concerns Identified by Survey Respondents

Continued on next page...

Exhibit I-2. Important Community Health Concerns Identified by Survey Respondents (continued)

| Other Importar | nt Community Health Concerns Identified by Survey Respondents in Open-Ended Responses |
|----------------|--|
| Response # | Responses |
| 1 | Aging (as age increases, support systems decrease, leading to preventable medical mishaps) |
| 2 | Community Health! |
| 3 | From my vantage point, we are having an epidemic of substance use disorders, and severe mental health disorders without the needed resources for treatment. We are also witnessing concomitant health problems as a result of these illnesses and sometimes the treatment of them. |
| 4 | GI Problems |
| 5 | Having chaired the Hampton Roads VisionWalk for two consecutive years and being vision impaired myself, I see a real need for education, awareness and community assistance for the large vision impaired population here in Hampton Roads. I have had the opportunity to speak at numerous community organizations about vision loss and am astounded by the number of people who are affected or have family and friends that are impacted by vision loss. |
| 6 | It seems to me that we wouldn't have as many health related problems in my community if people were a bit more proactive in managing their chronic conditions. |
| 7 | Parkinson's disease |
| 8 | These are all really problems for us. If I had to pick the priorities though it would be Obesity (and related conditions like obesity, heart disease, HTN, stroke, etc.), Tobacco use (and associated conditions), Asthma, Infant/child health, Prenatal/pregnancy STDs |
| 9 | Vascular disease (e.g. PVD, aortic disease) |

3. Community Service Gaps

Survey respondents were asked to review a list of community services that are typically important for addressing the health needs of a community. Respondents were asked to identify from the list any services they think need strengthening in terms of availability, access, or quality. Respondents were also invited to identify additional service gaps not already defined on the list. *Exhibit I-3* summarizes the results, including open-ended responses.

| Answer Options | Response Percent ³ | Response Cour | nt |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Behavioral Health Services (including mental health, substance use and intellectual disability) | 63% | 34 | |
| Health Care Services for the Uninsured and Underinsured | 59% | 32 | Note: When |
| Aging Services | 54% | 29 | interpreting the survey |
| Homeless Services | 54% | 29 | results, |
| Dental Care/Oral Health Services-Adult | 46% | 25 | please note |
| Long Term Care Services | 41% | 22 | that although the |
| Health Care Insurance Coverage (private and government) | 39% | 21 | relative |
| Social Services | 39% | 21 | number of |
| Transportation | 39% | 21 | responses received for |
| Chronic Disease Services (including screening and early detection) | 33% | 18 | each item is instructive, it |
| Chronic Pain Management Services | 32% | 17 | is not a definitive |
| Health Promotion and Prevention Services | 32% | 17 | measure of |
| Early Intervention Services for Children | 24% | 13 | the relative |
| Food Safety Net (food bank, community gardens) | 22% | 12 | importance of one issue |
| Maternal, Infant & Child Health Services | 22% | 12 | compared to |
| Patient Self Management Services(e.g. nutrition, exercise, taking medications) | 22% | 12 | another. |
| Primary Health Care Services | 22% | 12 | |
| Public Health Services | 22% | 12 | |
| Cancer Services (screening, diagnosis, treatment) | 20% | 11 | |
| Domestic Violence Services | 20% | 11 | |
| Family Planning Services | 20% | 11 | |
| Job/Vocational Retraining | 20% | 11 | |
| Dental Care/Oral Health Services-Pediatric | 19% | 10 | |
| Home Health Services | 15% | 8 | |
| School Health Services | 15% | 8 | |
| Hospice Services | 11% | 6 | |
| Pharmacy Services | 6% | 3 | |
| Workplace Health and Safety Services | 6% | 3 | |
| Hospital Services (including emergency, inpatient and outpatient) | 4% | 2 | |
| Specialty Medical Care (e.g. cardiologists, oncologists, etc.) | 4% | 2 | |
| Environmental Health Services | 2% | 1 | |
| Physical Rehabilitation | 0% | 0 | |

Exhibit I-3. Important Community Service Gaps Identified by Survey Respondents

Continued on next page...

³ Fifty-four (54) of the 57 survey respondents answered this question.

Exhibit 3. Important Community Service Gaps Identified by Survey Respondents (continued)

| Other Importar | Other Important Community Health Services Gaps Identified by Survey Respondents in Open-Ended Responses | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| Response # | Responses | | |
| 1 | Access to mobility equipment if uninsured or underinsured. | | |
| 2 | Community Health Clinics and services are in dire need! If you want to charge big bucks for the insured take care of the uninsured. | | |
| 3 | Healthy Communities infrastructure like walkability, bikeability, and associated planning and interventions | | |
| 4 | High quality mental health services are desperately needed in this region! Too many ER and primary care visits are complicated by mental health issues that personnel do not have the skills to address. | | |
| 5 | I have referred numerous friends and neighbors to NDC for primary health care services only to be told by my friends/neighbors that NDC is no longer accepting patients (Medicare or private insurance). Primary health care at NDC is outstanding and would benefit Sentara by increasing providers and patient base. | | |
| 6 | The need for strengthening public health, school health and social services is checked due to the significant community need and growing loss of funding in the current economic climate. Also, with implementation of ACA, community support and support of health partners is needed to ensure continued viability of these services. | | |

Part II. Community Indicator Profile

This section of the report provides a quantitative profile of the study region based on a wide array of community health indicators. To produce the profile, Community Health Solutions analyzed data from multiple sources. By design, the analysis does not include every possible indicator of community health. The analysis is focused on a set of indicators that provide broad insight into community health, and for which there were readily available data sources.

The results of this profile can be used to evaluate community health status compared to Virginia overall. The results can also be helpful for determining the number of people affected by specific health concerns. In addition, the results can be used alongside the Community Insight Survey results and the zip code level maps to help inform action plans for community health improvement. This section includes ten profiles as follows:

- 1. Health Demographic Trend Profile
- 2. Health Demographic Snapshot Profile
- 3. Mortality Profile
- 4. Maternal and Infant Health Profile
- 5. Preventable Hospitalization Discharge Profile
- 6. Behavioral Health Hospitalization Discharge Profile
- 7. Adult Health Risk Factor Profile
- 8. Youth Health Risk Factor Profile
- 9. Uninsured Profile
- 10. Medically Underserved Profile

1. Health Demographic Trend Profile

Trends in health-related demographics are instructive for anticipating changes in community health status. Changes in the size, age and racial/ethnic mix of the population can have a significant impact on overall health status, health needs and demand for local services.

As shown in *Exhibit II-1*, as of 2012, the study region included an estimated 768,037 people. The population is expected to increase to 784,805 by 2017. It is projected that the population will remain stable or grow in all age groups, including a 10% increase in seniors age 65+. Focusing on racial background, growth is projected for all populations, including a 6% increase in the Asian population. The Hispanic ethnicity population is also expected to grow by 4%.

| Indicator | 2010 Census | 2012 Estimate | 2017 Projection | % Change 2012-2017 | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Total Population | 755,523 | 768,037 | 784,805 | 2% | |
| Population Density (per Sq Mile) | 1,649.2 | 1,676.5 | 1,713.1 | 2% | |
| Total Households | 287,527 | 290,180 | 297,888 | 3% | |
| Population by Age | | | | | |
| Children Age 0-17 | 183,495 | 183,047 | 184,475 | 1% | |
| Adults Age 18-29 | 143,834 | 143,829 | 144,671 | 1% | |
| Adults Age 30-44 | 151,715 | 154,005 | 157,640 | 2% | |
| Adults Age 45-64 | 196,233 | 201,799 | 204,334 | 1% | |
| Seniors Age 65+ | 80,237 | 85,366 | 93,688 | 10% | |
| Population by Race/Ethnicity | | | | | |
| Asian | 36,856 | 38,204 | 40,436 | 6% | |
| Black/African American | 206,064 | 209,462 | 213,730 | 2% | |
| White | 465,359 | 471,252 | 479,477 | 2% | |
| Other or Multi-Race | 47,247 | 49,119 | 51,165 | 4% | |
| Hispanic Ethnicity ⁴ | 47,025 | 48,837 | 50,576 | 4% | |

Exhibit II-1. Health Demographic Trend Profile, 2010-2017

Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of US Census data and estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

⁴ Classification of ethnicity; therefore, Hispanic individuals are also included in the race categories.

Community health is driven in part by community demographics. The age, sex, race, ethnicity, income and education status of a population are strong predictors of community health status and community health needs.

Exhibit II-2 presents a snapshot of key health-related demographics of the study region. As of 2012, the study region included an estimated 768,037 people. Focusing on population rates in the lower part of the Exhibit, compared to Virginia as a whole, the study region is more densely populated; has (proportionally) more Black/African American residents; has lower income levels; and has (proportionally) fewer adults age 25+ without a high school diploma than Virginia as a whole. *Note: Maps 1-13 in Appendix A show the geographic distribution of the population by zip code.*

| Indicator | | Study Region | Virginia |
|-----------------------|--|--------------|---------------|
| Population C Total | | 760.007 | 0 1 5 4 0 4 5 |
| rotai | Population | 768,037 | 8,154,815 |
| | Children Age 0-17 | 183,047 | 1,857,225 |
| • | Adults Age 18-29 | 143,829 | 1,375,674 |
| Age | Adults Age 30-44 | 154,005 | 1,642,637 |
| | Adults Age 45-64 | 201,799 | 2,233,940 |
| | Seniors Age 65+ | 85,366 | 1,045,339 |
| Sex | Female | 392,959 | 4,148,680 |
| | Male | 375,079 | 4,006,135 |
| | Asian | 38,204 | 459,660 |
| Race | Black/African American | 209,462 | 1,579,659 |
| | White | 471,252 | 5,573,480 |
| | Other or Multi-Race | 49,119 | 542,016 |
| Ethnicity | Hispanic Ethnicity ⁵ | 48,837 | 655,986 |
| Income | Low Income Households (Households with Income < \$25,000) | 50,036 | 553,382 |
| Education | Population Age 25+ Without a High School Diploma | 42,877 | 675,228 |
| Population R | lates | | |
| Total | Population Density (pop. per sq. mile) | 1,676.50 | 202.2 |
| | Children Age 0-17 pct. of Total Pop. | 24% | 23% |
| | Adults Age 18-29 pct. of Total Pop. | 19% | 17% |
| Age | Adults Age 30-44 pct. of Total Pop. | 20% | 20% |
| | Adults Age 45-64 pct. of Total Pop. | 26% | 27% |
| | Seniors Age 65+ pct. of Total Pop. | 11% | 13% |
| 0 | Female pct. of Total Pop. | 51% | 51% |
| Sex | Male pct. of Total Pop. | 49% | 49% |
| | Asian pct. of Total Pop. | 5% | 6% |
| - | Black/African American pct. of Total Pop. | 27% | 19% |
| Race | White pct. of Total Pop. | 61% | 68% |
| | Other or Multi-Race pct. of Total Pop. | 6% | 7% |
| Ethnicity | Hispanic Ethnicity pct. of Total Pop. | 6% | 8% |
| | Per Capita Income | \$29,711 | \$34,307 |
| | Median Household Income | \$59,301 | \$64,118 |
| Income | Low Income Households (Households with Income < \$25,000) pct. of Total Households | 17% | 18% |
| Education | Pop. Age 25+ Without a High School Diploma pct. of Total Pop. Age 25+ | 8% | 12% |

Exhibit II-2. Health Demographic Snapshot Profile, 2012

Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of US Census data and estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

⁵ Classification of ethnicity; therefore, Hispanic individuals are also included in the race categories.

3. Mortality Profile

Mortality is traditionally one of the most important indicators of community health status. As shown in *Exhibit II-3*, in 2011, the study region had 5,290 total deaths. The leading causes of death were malignant neoplasms (cancer) (1,197), heart disease (1,116), and chronic lower respiratory disease (283). ⁶ *Note: Maps 14-17 in Appendix A show the geographic distribution of deaths by zip code.*

| Indicator | Study Region | Virginia |
|---|--------------|----------|
| Total Deaths | | |
| Total Deaths by All Causes | 5,290 | 60,325 |
| Deaths by Top 14 Causes | | |
| Malignant Neoplasms Deaths | 1,197 | 14,261 |
| Heart Disease Deaths | 1,116 | 13,201 |
| Chronic Lower Respiratory Diseases Deaths | 283 | 3,097 |
| Cerebrovascular Diseases Deaths | 258 | 3,327 |
| Unintentional Injury Deaths | 239 | 2,726 |
| Alzheimer's Disease Deaths | 181 | 1,800 |
| Diabetes Mellitus Deaths | 157 | 1,628 |
| Septicemia Deaths | 132 | 1,372 |
| Suicide Deaths | 108 | 1,052 |
| Influenza and Pneumonia Deaths | 107 | 1,404 |
| Nephritis and Nephrosis Deaths | 84 | 1,425 |
| Chronic Liver Disease Deaths | 71 | 725 |
| Pneumonitis Deaths | 53 | 560 |
| Primary Hypertension and Renal Disease Deaths | 50 | 569 |
| Deaths by Age Group | | |
| Deaths Age 0-17 | 116 | 1,024 |
| Deaths Age 18-29 | 119 | 1,080 |
| Deaths Age 30-44 | 211 | 2,121 |
| Deaths Age 45-64 | 1,139 | 12,338 |
| Deaths Age 65+ | 3,705 | 43,758 |
| Death Rates by Age Group (see note 6) | | |
| Deaths per 100,000 pop. All Ages | 697.5* | 742.9 |
| Deaths per 100,000 pop. Age 0-17 | 63.0 | 53.6 |
| Deaths per 100,000 pop. Age 18-29 | 82.3 | 79.0 |
| Deaths per 100,000 pop. Age 30-44 | 138.5 | 125.7 |
| Deaths per 100,000 pop. Age 45-64 | 578.1 | 576.8 |
| Deaths per 100,000 pop. Age 65+ | 4,602.0 | 4,314.5 |

Exhibit II-3. Mortality Profile, 2011

Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of data from the Virginia Department of Health and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

⁶ Age adjusted death rates were not calculated for this study because the study region is defined by zip codes, and available data are not structured to support calculation of age adjusted death rates at the zip code level. Age group death rates are used as an alternative. Readers may note that the overall death rate for the study region is lower than the Virginia statewide rate, while the age-group death rates are slightly higher than the comparable statewide rates. This pattern is attributable to statistical patterns occurring within the age 65+ age band.

4. Maternal and Infant Health Profile

Along with mortality, maternal and infant health is another traditionally important indicator of community health status. As shown in *Exhibit II-4A*, in 2011, the study region had 11,223 total live births. Among these were 956 low weight births, 1,256 births without early prenatal care, 4,243 non-marital births and 725 births to teens. Compared to Virginia as a whole, the study region had a higher rate of live births; a higher rate of non-marital births; and a lower rate of births without early prenatal care. *Note: Maps 18-21 in Appendix A show the geographic distribution of births by zip code*.

| Indicator | Study Region | Virginia |
|---|--------------|----------|
| Counts | | |
| Total Live Births | 11,223 | 102,525 |
| Low Weight Births (under 2,500 grams / 5 lb. 8 oz.) | 956 | 8,204 |
| Births Without Early Prenatal Care (No Prenatal Care in First 13 Weeks) | 1,256 | 13,500 |
| Non-Marital Births | 4,243 | 36,390 |
| Live Births to Teens Age 10-19 | 725 | 6,572 |
| Live Births to Teens Age 18-19 | 544 | 4,807 |
| Live Births to Teens Age 15-17 | 178 | 1,708 |
| Live Births to Teens Age <15 | 3 | 57 |
| Rates | | |
| Live Birth Rate per 1,000 Population | 14.8 | 12.7 |
| Low Weight Births pct. of Total Live Births | 9% | 8% |
| Births Without Early Prenatal Care (No Prenatal Care in First 13 Weeks) pct. of Total Live Births | 11% | 13% |
| Non-Marital Births pct. of Total Live Births | 38% | 35% |

Exhibit II-4A. Maternal and Infant Health Profile, 2011

Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of data from the Virginia Department of Health and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

Exhibit II-4B below provides counts and rates of teen pregnancy and infant mortality for the three localities that include the study region.⁷ Teen pregnancy and five-year infant mortality rates were higher in the cities of Chesapeake and Norfolk than the statewide rates.

| Indicator | Chesapeake, City of | Norfolk, City of | Virginia Beach, City of | Virginia |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Teen Pregnancy Counts and Rates | | | | |
| Total Teenage Pregnancies Age 10-19 (2011) | 339 | 511 | 515 | 9,630 |
| Total Pregnancies per 1,000 Female Population Age 10-19 (2011) | 20.9 | 35.5 | 18.4 | 18.6 |
| Infant Mortality Counts and Rates | | | | |
| Total Infant Deaths (2011) | 16 | 31 | 43 | 685 |
| Five-Year Average Infant Mortality Rate per 1,000 Live Births (2007-2011) | 7.6 | 12.0 | 6.5 | 7.0 |

Exhibit II-4B. Teen Pregnancy and Infant Mortality Profile, 2011

Source: Community Health solutions analysis of data from the Virginia Department of Health birth record data. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

⁷ Indicators are shown at the city and county level because teen pregnancy and five year average infant mortality data are not available at the zip code-level.

5. Preventable Hospitalization Discharge Profile

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) defines a set of conditions (called Prevention Quality Indicators, or 'PQIs') for which hospitalization should be avoidable with proper outpatient health care.⁸ High rates of hospitalization for these conditions indicate potential gaps in access to quality outpatient services for community residents.

As shown in *Exhibit II-5*, in 2011, residents of the study region had 7,685 PQI hospital discharges from Virginia hospitals.⁹ The leading diagnoses for these discharges were congestive heart failure (2,001), diabetes (1,282) and bacterial pneumonia (1,238). The study region PQI discharge rates per 100,000 population were higher than the statewide rates for adults age 18+. *Note: Map 22 in Appendix A shows the geographic distribution of PQI discharges by zip code.*

| Indicator | Study Region | Virginia |
|--|--------------|----------|
| PQI Discharges by Age Group (see note 8) | | |
| All Ages | 7,685 | 83,392 |
| Total PQI Discharges-Age 0-17 | 21 | 335 |
| Total PQI Discharges-Age 18-29 | 395 | 3,639 |
| Total PQI Discharges-Age 30-44 | 708 | 7,190 |
| Total PQI Discharges-Age 45-64 | 2,421 | 24,359 |
| Total PQI Discharges-Age 65+ | 4,140 | 47,869 |
| PQI Discharges by Diagnosis | | |
| Congestive Heart Failure | 2,001 | 18,990 |
| Diabetes | 1,282 | 11,326 |
| Bacterial Pneumonia | 1,238 | 16,221 |
| Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) | 889 | 11,439 |
| Urinary Tract Infection | 851 | 10,496 |
| Adult Asthma | 669 | 6,419 |
| Hypertension | 282 | 2,898 |
| Dehydration | 251 | 3,401 |
| Perforated Appendix | 135 | 1,487 |
| Angina | 87 | 715 |
| PQI Discharge Rates by Age Group | | |
| PQI Discharges per 100,000 pop. All Ages | 1,013.2 | 1,027.0 |
| PQI Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 0-17 | see note | 17.5 |
| PQI Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 18-29 | 273.3 | 266.1 |
| PQI Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 30-44 | 464.7 | 426.0 |
| PQI Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 45-64 | 1,228.8 | 1,138.7 |
| PQI Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 65+ | 5,142.3 | 4,719.8 |

Exhibit II-5. Prevention Quality Indicator (PQI) Hospital Discharge Profile, 2011

Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of hospital discharge data from Virginia Health Information, Inc. and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details

Note: Rates are not calculated when the number of cases is less than 30.

⁸ The PQI definitions are detailed in their specification of ICD-9 diagnosis codes and procedure codes. Not every hospital admission for congestive heart failure, bacterial pneumonia, etc. is included in the PQI definition; only those meeting the detailed specifications. Low birth weight is one of the PQI indicators, but for the purpose of this report, low birth weight is included in the Maternal and Infant Health Profile. Also, there are three diabetes-related PQI indicators which have been combined into one for the report. For more information, visit the AHRQ website at www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/pgi_overview.htm

⁹ Data include discharges for Virginia residents from Virginia community hospitals reporting to Virginia Health Information, Inc. These data do not include discharges from state behavioral health facilities or federal (military) facilities. Data reported are based on the primary diagnosis.

6. Behavioral Health Hospitalization Discharge Profile

Behavioral Health (BH) hospitalizations provide another important indicator of community health status. As shown in *Exhibit II-6*, in 2011, residents of the study region had 6,592 hospital discharges from Virginia hospitals for behavioral health conditions.¹⁰ The leading diagnoses for these discharges were affective psychoses (2,784), general symptoms (1,135) and schizophrenic disorders (1,044). The study region behavioral health hospitalization discharge rates per 100,000 population were higher than the statewide rates. *Note: Map 23 in Appendix A shows the geographic distribution of BH discharges by zip code*.

| Indicator | Study Region | Virginia |
|---|--------------|----------|
| BH Discharges by Age Group (see note 10) | | |
| All Ages | 6,592 | 64,892 |
| Total BH Discharges-Age 0-17 | 842 | 7,996 |
| Total BH Discharges-Age 18-29 | 1,299 | 12,297 |
| Total BH Discharges-Age 30-44 | 1,420 | 15,063 |
| Total BH Discharges-Age 45-64 | 2,033 | 19,677 |
| Total BH Discharges-Age 65+ | 998 | 9,859 |
| BH Discharges by Diagnosis | | |
| Affective Psychoses ¹¹ | 2,784 | 27,277 |
| General Symptoms ¹² | 1,135 | 11,135 |
| Schizophrenic Disorders | 1,044 | 8,042 |
| Alcoholic Psychoses | 336 | 3,283 |
| Other Nonorganic Psychoses | 199 | 2,148 |
| Depressive Disorder, Not Elsewhere Classified | 191 | 2,785 |
| Alcoholic Dependence Syndrome | 179 | 2,161 |
| Drug Psychoses | 174 | 1,321 |
| Adjustment Reaction | 113 | 2,123 |
| Neurotic Disorders | 103 | 1,351 |
| BH Discharge Rates by Age Group | | |
| BH Discharges per 100,000 pop. All Ages | 869.1 | 799.2 |
| BH Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 0-17 | 457.6 | 418.4 |
| BH Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 18-29 | 898.6 | 899.0 |
| BH Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 30-44 | 932.1 | 892.4 |
| BH Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 45-64 | 1,031.8 | 919.8 |
| BH Discharges per 100,000 pop. Age 65+ | 1,239.6 | 972.1 |

Exhibit II-6. Behavioral Health Hospital Discharge Profile, 2011

Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of hospital discharge data from Virginia Health Information, Inc. and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

¹⁰ Data include discharges for Virginia residents from Virginia community hospitals reporting to Virginia Health Information, Inc. These data do not include discharges from state behavioral health facilities or federal (military) facilities. Data reported are based on the primary diagnosis. ¹¹ Includes major depressive, bipolar affective and manic depressive disorders.

¹² This diagnosis includes symptoms, signs, abnormal results of laboratory or other investigative procedures, and ill-defined conditions regarding which no diagnosis classifiable elsewhere is recorded.

7. Adult Health Risk Factor Profile

This section examines health risks for adults age 18+. Prevalence estimates of health risks, chronic disease and health status can be useful in developing prevention and improvement efforts. *Exhibit II-7* shows estimates indicating that substantial numbers of adults in the study region have health risks related to nutrition, weight, physical inactivity, tobacco, and alcohol. In addition, substantial numbers of adults may have chronic conditions such as high cholesterol, high blood pressure, arthritis, diabetes and asthma. *Note: Maps 24-27 in Appendix A show the geographic distribution of selected adult health risks by zip code.*

| Indicator | Study Region Estimates (Count) | Study Region Estimates (Percent) |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Estimated Adults age 18+ | 584,999 | 100% |
| Risk Factors | | |
| Less than Five Servings of Fruits and Vegetables Per Day* | 455,288 | 78% |
| Overweight or Obese ¹³ | 358,965 | 61% |
| Not Meeting Recommendations for Physical Activity in the Past 30 Days | 304,174 | 52% |
| Smoker* | 119,010 | 20% |
| At Risk for Binge Drinking (males having five or more drinks on one occasion, females having four or more drinks on one occasion) | 117,923 | 20% |
| Chronic Conditions | | |
| High Cholesterol (was checked, and told by a doctor or other health professional it was high)* | 208,604 | 36% |
| High Blood Pressure (told by a doctor or other health professional)* | 169,250 | 29% |
| Arthritis (told by a doctor or other health professional)* | 141,516 | 24% |
| Diabetes (told by a doctor or other health professional)* | 48,239 | 8% |
| Asthma (told by a doctor or other health professional)* | 41,762 | 7% |
| General Health Status | | |
| Limited in any Activities because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems* | 115,817 | 20% |
| Fair or Poor Health Status* | 92,051 | 16% |

Exhibit II-7. Adult Health Risk Factor Profile (Estimates), 2012

* Indicators marked (*) are based on respondent self reports. Other indicators are calculated by Centers for Disease Control based on Virginia Behavioral Risk Factor Behavioral Surveillance System results.

Source: Estimates produced by Community Health Solutions using Virginia Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System data and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

¹³ According to the CDC, for adults 20 years old and older, BMI is interpreted using standard weight status categories that are the same for all ages and for both men and women. Overweight is defined as a BMI between 25.0 and 29.9. Obesity is defined as a BMI 30.0 and above. For more information: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/adult_bmi/index.html#Interpreted</u>

8. Youth Health Risk Factor Profile

This section examines selected health risks for youth age 14-19. These risks have received increasing attention as the population of American children have become more sedentary, more prone to unhealthy eating and more likely to develop unhealthy body weight. The long-term implications of these trends are serious, as these factors place children at higher risk for chronic disease both now and in adulthood.

Exhibit II-8 shows estimates indicating that substantial numbers of youth in the study region have health risks related to nutrition, weight, alcohol, mental health, tobacco and physical inactivity. Note: Maps 28-29 in Appendix A show the geographic distribution of selected youth health risks by zip code.

Study Region Study Region Indicator Estimates Estimates (Count) (Percent) 59,495 Estimated Youth age 14-19 100% Less than the Recommended Intake of Vegetables 52,606 88% Less than the Recommended Intake of Fruit 51,060 86% Overweight or Obese¹⁴ 17,579 30% Have at least One Drink of Alcohol at least One Day in the Past 30 Days* 16,950 28% Feel Sad or Hopeless (almost every day for two or more weeks in a row 14,780 25% so that they stopped doing some usual activities)* Used Tobacco in the Past 30 Days* 11,556 19% Not Meeting Recommendations for Physical Activity in the Past Week* 9,191 15%

Exhibit II-8. Youth Health Risk Factor Profile (Estimates), 2012

* Indicators marked (*) are based on respondent self reports. Other indicators are calculated by Centers for Disease Control based on Virginia Youth Behavioral Risk Factor Behavioral Surveillance System results.

Source: Estimates produced by Community Health Solutions using Virginia Youth Risk Behavioral Surveillance System data and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

¹⁴ For children and adolescents (aged 2–19 years), the BMI value is plotted on the CDC growth charts to determine the corresponding BMI-forage percentile. Overweight is defined as a BMI at or above the 85th percentile and lower than the 95th percentile. Obesity is defined as a BMI at or above the 95th percentile for children of the same age and sex. For more information: http://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/childrens_BMI/about_childrens_BMI.html

9. Uninsured Profile

Decades of research show that health coverage matters when it comes to overall health status, access to health care, quality of life, school and work productivity, and even mortality. *Exhibit II-9* shows the estimated number of uninsured individuals, by income as a percent of the federal poverty level (FPL), in the study region as of 2012.¹⁵ An estimated 95,092 (14%) nonelderly residents of the study region were uninsured at a given point in time in 2012. This included an estimated 14,460 children and 80,632 adults. *Note: Maps 30-31 in Appendix A show the geographic distribution of the uninsured population by zip code.*

| Indicator | Study Region |
|---|--------------|
| Estimated Uninsured Counts | |
| Uninsured Nonelderly Age 0-64 | 95,092 |
| Uninsured Children Age 0-18 | 14,460 |
| Uninsured Children <100% FPL | 4,247 |
| Uninsured Children 100-200% FPL | 5,694 |
| Uninsured Children 201-300% FPL | 2,189 |
| Uninsured Children 301%+ FPL | 2,331 |
| Uninsured Adults Age 19-64 | 80,632 |
| Uninsured Adults <100% FPL | 33,548 |
| Uninsured Adults 100-200% FPL | 22,439 |
| Uninsured Adults 201-300% FPL | 12,803 |
| Uninsured Adults 301%+ FPL | 11,842 |
| Uninsured Adults Under 133% FPL ¹⁶ | 36,179 |
| Estimated Uninsured Rates | |
| Uninsured Nonelderly Percent | 14% |
| Uninsured Children Percent | 7% |
| Uninsured Adults Percent | 16% |

Exhibit II-9. Uninsured Profile (Estimates) 2012

Source: Estimates produced by Community Health Solutions using the (2011) Uninsured report produced for Virginia Health Care Foundation by the Urban Institute and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

¹⁵ For more information, please see: <u>http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/12poverty.shtml</u>

¹⁶ Uninsured Adults Under 133% FPL are included in the <100 and 100-200% FPL income categories. This separate income level has been included in the table to provide an estimate of uninsured adults who may be eligible for health coverage under Medicaid expansion.

10. Medically Underserved Profile

Medically Underserved Areas (MUAs) and Medically Underserved Populations (MUPs) are designated by the U.S. Health Resources and Services Administration as being at risk for health care access problems. The designations are based on several factors including primary care provider supply, infant mortality, prevalence of poverty and the prevalence of seniors age 65+.

As shown in *Exhibit II-10*, all three localities that include the study region are partially designated as MUA/MUPs. For a more detailed description, visit the U.S. Health Resources and Service Administration designation webpage at <u>http://muafind.hrsa.gov/</u>.

Exhibit II-10. Medically Underserved Area/Populations Profile

| Locality | MUA/MUP Designation | Census Tracts |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Chesapeake, City of | Partial | 8 of 41 Census Tracts |
| Norfolk, City of | Partial | 31 of 80 Census Tracts |
| Virginia Beach, City of | Partial | 3 of 99 Census Tracts |

Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of U.S. Health Resources and Services Administration data.


APPENDIX A. Zip Code-Level Maps for the Study Region

The maps in this section illustrate the geographic distribution of the study region population on key demographic and health indicators by zip code. The maps can also be used alongside the Community Insight Survey (Part I) and the Community Indicator Profile (Part II) to help inform plans for community health initiatives. The underlying data for these maps are provided in a separate Microsoft Excel file. The maps in this section include the following for 2011/2012:


| 1. Total Population, 2012 | 17. Chronic Lower Respiratory Diseases Deaths, 2011 |
|---|--|
| 2. Population Density (population per square mile), 2012 | 18. Total Live Births, 2011 |
| 3. Child Population Age 0-17, 2012 | 19. Low Weight Births, 2011 |
| 4. Senior Population Age 65+, 2012 | 20. Births Without Early Prenatal Care (No Prenatal Care in the First 13 Weeks), 2011 |
| 5. Asian Population, 2012 | 21. Births to Teen Mothers Under Age 18, 2011 |
| 6. Black/African American Population, 2012 | 22. Prevention Quality Indicator (PQI) Hospital Discharges, 2011 |
| 7. White Population, 2012 | 23. Behavioral Health (BH) Hospital Discharges, 2011 |
| 8. Other or Multi-Race Population, 2012 | 24. Estimated Adults Age 18+ Overweight or Obese, 2012 |
| 9. Hispanic Ethnicity Population, 2012 | 25. Estimated Adult Age 18+ Smokers, 2012 |
| 10. Per Capita Income, 2012 | 26. Estimated Adults Age 18+ with Diabetes, 2012 |
| 11. Median Household Income, 2012 | 27. Estimated Adults Age 18+ with High Blood Pressure, 2012 |
| Low Income Households (Households with Income <\$25,000), 2012 | 28. Estimated Youth Age 14-19 Overweight or Obese, 2012 |
| 13. Population Age 25+ Without a High School Diploma, 2012 | 29. Estimated Youth Age 14-19 Not Meeting Recommendations for Physical Activity in the Past Week, 2012 |
| 14. Total Deaths, 2011 | 30. Estimated Uninsured Children Age 0-18, 2012 |
| 15. Malignant Neoplasm (Cancer) Deaths, 2011 | 31. Estimated Uninsured Adults Age 19-64, 2012 |
| 16. Heart Disease Deaths, 2011 | |

Technical Notes


- 1. The maps focus on the Sentara Leigh Hospital (SLH) service area of 20 zip codes, most of which fall within the cities of Chesapeake, Norfolk and Virginia Beach. Because zip code boundaries do not automatically align with city/county boundaries, there are some zip codes that extend beyond the city/county boundaries. Additionally, not all zip codes in the cities of Chesapeake, Norfolk and Virginia Beach were identified as part of the SLH study region.
- 2. With the exception of population density, per capita income and median household income, the maps show counts rather than rates. Rates are not mapped at the zip code-level because in some zip codes the population is too small to support rate-based comparisons.
- 3. The maps are thematically shaded to show the zip code-level indicators in five groupings or 'quintiles'.
- 4. Gray shading indicates either zip codes not included in the SLH study region, or zero values for zip codes that are included in the SLH study region. SLH study region zip codes with zero values are noted.




Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details




Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.




Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details




Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details




Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details




Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details




Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details




*There were no reported deaths for zip code 23463. Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of data from the Virginia Department of Health. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.




*There were no reported deaths for zip code 23463. Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of data from the Virginia Department of Health. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.




* There were no reported low weight births for zip code 23463. Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of data from the Virginia Department of Health. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.




*There were no reported births without early prenatal care or births to teen mothers under age 18 for zip code 23463. Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of data from the Virginia Department of Health. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.




Source: Community Health Solutions analysis of hospital discharge data from Virginia Health Information, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.




Source: Estimates based on Community Health Solutions analysis of Virginia Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System data and estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.



Source: Estimates based on Community Health Solutions analysis of Virginia Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System data and estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.



Source: Estimates based on Community Health Solutions analysis of Virginia Youth Risk Behavioral Surveillance System data and estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.



Source: Community Health Solutions estimates based on Community Health Solutions analysis of Profile of the Uninsured report produced for Virginia Health Care Foundation by the Urban Institute and estimates from Alteryx, Inc. See Appendix C. Data Sources for details.

APPENDIX B. Community Insight Profile- Additional Ideas and Suggestions for Improving Community Health

Survey respondents were given the option to submit additional ideas and suggestions for improving community health. The open-ended responses are listed below.

| Response # | | |
|------------|---|--|
| 1 | Access Partnership has had a wonderful collaboration with Sentara Hospitals since the organization was established. I wish it was as easy to work with other divisions (SMG) as it is to work with the hospital division. | |
| 2 | Bring your bus to the Senior Center! | |
| 3 | Charity care options for specialty care, radiology, pathology, etc. for patients receiving primary care in the community (and not enrolled in ACC). | |
| 4 | Clear communication with our First Responders (EMT, E, I, P). Making sure everyone is on the same page through positive interaction concerning patient care. | |
| 5 | Consolidate certain services enhancing care. Example: Wound Care | |
| 6 | Continue to provide outreach to communities with "free" screenings for a variety of "health epidemics" obesity, hypertension, and diabetes. | |
| 7 | Continue to support the mission of the Free Foundation, so that the mobility needs of the members of the community can be met. | |
| 8 | Focus more on programs outside the hospital walls. Prevention rather than clinical care is where we stand to gain the most ground against chronic, preventable diseases. Engage more with the community and in neighborhoods of need to identify needs, develop plans and implement measures to improve health. | |
| 9 | Greater focus on Alzheimer's disease. Access to care and health professional training. It would be helpful to have a comprehensive GeroPsych unit providing specialized care and assessment for dementia. 1 out of 9, 65 years and older, will develop Alzheimer's disease. | |
| 10 | Hospital services, including: Discharge planning; setting up post discharge appointments for patients before discharge and assessing safety prior to discharge Better communications between doctors and families during hospitalization Patient mobilization during hospitalization | |
| 11 | Improving health is a continuum involving patients, staff, MDs, community and ancillary services. Outside looking in, I see Sentara as a leader is cutting edge health care to include new treatment modalities and expertise in up to date clinical practices. In the employee arena, I see room for improvement. Happy, well trained and educated employees exude their job satisfaction to the customers which in turn results in high patient satisfaction numbers. Not privy to the budget numbers and realizing that Sentara is a business and needs to operate in the positive I find the practice of "furloughing" employees when the census is down a policy that might bear further review. When an employee making \$10.00/hr. is sent home four hours early does that \$40.00 really impact the general welfare of Sentara? Down time is a great opportunity for employees to perform other duties, bond and form relationships with colleagues that results in accountability and pride and gives a sense that they are in fact a valuable asset to Sentara. In difficult financial times \$40 may really impact someone's life. [If] Sentara goes the extra mile the employee will in turn do the same. | |

Continued on next page...

APPENDIX B. Community Insight Profile-Additional Ideas and Suggestions for Improving Community Health (continued)

| 12 | Increase leadership with the development of a continuum of care to address mental health issues for children and adolescents. |
|----|---|
| 13 | Increase staffing (nurses) on the floor. Provide more mental health services. Provide more community awareness and educational programs for childhood obesity prevention in consor with the local health departments. |
| 14 | Sentara can help achieve its mission by recognizing the value that the health department can add through collaboration and partnership to address the community's priority health issues. |
| 15 | Support free clinics on various topics in every city. |
| 16 | To the extent not already done, EHR sharing with all area providers. |
| 17 | We are engaged in a Care Transitions pilot with Sentara Leigh using the Coleman model. The initial results show a decline in readmissions for the very limited number of patients who have participated. We think this pilot should be expanded to SNG, SVFG and SPA, in that order, using funds from the hospitals for the interventions. We would also like to see greater linkages between the hospitals and Senior Services in support of chronic disease self-management community based classes. Thank you for the opportunity to respond. |
| 18 | We have got to find a better way to treat inpatients who have chronic pain issues. |
| 19 | We need to expand the community services so that care is provided where people live, work, play and worship I think that faith-based partnerships could be expanded as well as expansion of partnerships with other school of health professions, particularly in the area of community outreach. |
| 20 | We need to form partnerships in our community to assist with the increasing substance use disorders and lack of resources and I believe that we need to set up some medical detoxification services and substance use disorders ERs and psychiatric ERs to better manage the growing numbers of these populations. Medical clearance for TDOs is problematic and some joint lobbying needs to occur with the State Legislature to change the laws. |
| 21 | Work collaboratively with public health leadership to ensure more comprehensive efforts to address community health improvement projects. Ensure inclusion for input at the planning stages. |
| 22 | Work more closely with all area safety net clinics to provide in-kind services for low-income uninsured individuals. More funding through the Sentara Health foundation for health safety net clinics. |

APPENDIX C. Data Sources

| | Section | Source |
|----------------------|---|---|
| Part I:C | community Insight Profile | |
| 1) 2) 3) 4) | Survey Respondents Community Health Concerns Community Service Gaps APPENDIX B. Community Insight Profile-Additional Ideas and Suggestions for Improving Community Health | Community Health Solutions analysis of <i>Community Insight</i> survey responses submitted by community stakeholders. |
| Part II: | Community Indicator Profile | |
| 1) 2) | Health Demographic Trend Profile Health Demographic Snapshot Profile (also Appendix A. Maps 1-13) | Community Health Solutions analysis of US Census data and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. (2012 and 2017). Note that demographic estimates may vary from other sources of local demographic indicators. |
| 3) | Mortality Profile (also Appendix A. Maps 14-17) | Community Health Solutions analysis of Virginia Department of Health death record data and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc (2011). |
| 4) | Maternal and Infant Health Profile (also Appendix A. Maps 18-21) | Community Health Solutions analysis of Virginia Department of Health birth record data and estimates from Alteryx, Inc (2011). |
| 5) 6) | Preventable Hospitalization Profile (also Appendix A. Map 22) Behavioral Health Hospitalization Profile (also Appendix A. Map 23) | Community Health Solutions analysis of hospital discharge data from the Virginia Health Information (VHI) dataset (January 1-December 31, 2011) and local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. (2011). Data include discharges for Virginia residents from Virginia hospitals reporting to Virginia Health Information, Inc. These data do not include discharges from state behavioral health facilities or federal (military) facilities. Data reported are based on the patient's primary diagnosis. <i>NOTE:</i> Virginia Health Information (VHI) requires the following statement to be included in all reports utilizing its data: VHI has provided non-confidential patient level information used in this report which was compiled in accordance with Virginia law. VHI has no authority to independently verify this data. By accepting this report the requester agrees to assume all risks that may be associated with or arise from the use of inaccurately submitted data. VHI edits data received and is responsible for the accuracy of assembling this information, but does not represent that the subsequent use of this data was appropriate or endorse or support any conclusions or inferences that may be drawn from the use of this data. |
| 7) | Adult Health Risk Factor Profile (also Appendix A. Maps 24-27) | Estimates of chronic disease and risk behaviors for adults 18+ were produced by Community Health Solutions using: A multi-year dataset (2006-2010)from the Virginia Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).For more information on BRFSS visit: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/about/index.htm</u> Local demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. (2012) Estimates are used when there are no primary sources of data available at the local level. The statistical model to produce the estimates was developed by Community Health Solutions. The estimates are for planning purposes only and are not guaranteed for accuracy. The table does not include a comparison to Virginia statewide rates because the local estimates were derived from state-level data. Differences between local rates and state rates may reflect estimation error rather than valid differences. |

| 8) Youth Health Risk Fac (also Appendix A. Map | • Local demographic estimates from Alteryx, inc. (2012). s 28) Estimates are used when there are no primary sources of data available at the local level. The statistical model to produce the estimates was developed by Community Health Solutions. The estimates are for planning purposes only and are not guaranteed for accuracy. The table does not include a comparison to Virginia statewide rates because the local estimates were derived from state-level data. Differences between local rates and state rates may reflect estimation error rather than valid differences. |
|---|--|
| 9) Uninsured Profile (also Appendix A. Map | Estimates of uninsured nonelderly age 0-64 were produced by Community Health Solutions using: The Profile of the Uninsured report produced for Virginia Health Care Foundation by the Urban Institute (2011) Local Demographic estimates from Alteryx, Inc. (2012) Estimates are used when there are no primary sources of data available at the local level. The statistical model to produce the estimates was developed by Community Health Solutions. The estimates are for planning purposes only and are not guaranteed for accuracy. The table does not include a comparison to Virginia statewide rates because the local estimates were derived from state-level data. Differences between local rates and state rates may reflect estimation error rather than valid differences. |
| 10) Medically Underserved | Profile Community Health Solutions analysis of U.S. Health Resources and Services Administration data. For more information visit: <u>http://muafind.hrsa.gov/</u> . |